IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 14546 of 2005

Between:

Vignan Educational Academy (Regd NO. 12 of 1993),

Kaikalur, Krishna District rep by President,
N.Rama Krishna S/o Laxmipathi

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Andhra pradesh State Council of Higher Education (APSCHE),

(A Statutory Body of the Government of A.P.,) Masab Tank,

Saifabad, Hyderabad rep by Secretary

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the action of the respondent herein in not considering the application of the petitioner to start Private Degree College (Unaided) at Kaikalur for the academic year 2005-06 pursuant to the application No. 5031 as arbitrary, illegal, void ab-initio besides violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India besides vioaltive of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents herein to grant permission to the petitioner to start Private Degree College (Unaided) at Kaikalur in the name of for the academic year 2005-06 as per the notification in application No. 5031 forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.Y.RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.C.SUDESH ANAND (SC FOR APSCHE)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not passing orders granting permission for starting a new Private Degree College (un-aided) at Kaikalur, Krishna District for the academic year 2005-06.

2. It is stated that the petitioner made its application in pursuance of the notification issued by the Government for starting a private unaided Degree College. In pursuance of the application of the petitioner, the high level committee has even inspected the premises of the petitioner. However, the grievance of the petitioner is that no orders have been passed.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent stated that the application of the petitioner is under consideration and orders are going to be issued disposing of the application of the petitioner.

4. Under the above circumstances, the respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders, expeditiously, on the application of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

06th July, 2005

SKM
To

1 The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education (APSCHE), (A Statutory Body of the Government of A.P.,) Masab Tank, Saifabad, Hyderabad

2 Two CD Copies.